## LORAIN COUNTY REINVESTMENT COALITION

2500 Elvria Avenue Lorain, Ohio 44055-1367 440.244.FAIR (3247) FAX: 440.246.5240 E-Mail. lcrc@briylit.net www.reinvestmentcoalition.org April 5,2004



**BOARD OF DIRECTORS** 

Fran Baumann

Maryann Ketchesin

Rebecca Jones

Mark McGee

Marge Walker

Charles Welsh

Docket No. R-1181 Jennifer J. Johnson

Docket No. 04-06

250 E St. SW. Washington 20219

Communications Division

Secretary

Board of **Governors** of the Federal Reserve System

20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW

Public Information Room, Mailston 1-5

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

Washington **DC** 20551

Robert E. Feldman **Executive Secretary Attention: Comments** Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation 550 17th St NW

Washington DC 20429

Regulation Comments, Attention: No. 2004-04 Chief Counsel's Office Office of Thrift Supervision 1700 G Street NW Washington DC 20552

Dear Officials of Federal Bank and Thrift Agencies:

Paul Bellarny Executive Director

The Lorain County Reinvestment Coaliton is a nonprofit CRA advocacy and fair **housing** organization. We **feel** that you should either:

- 1. substantially modify, or
- 2. withdraw the proposed changes to the Community Reinvestment **Act** (CRA) regulations. In years past, CRA has been instrumental in increasing access to homeownership, boosting economic development, and expanding small businesses in the nation's minority, immigrant, and low- and moderateincome communities. Your proposed changes are contrary to the plain meaning of the CRA statute because they will halt the progress made in community reinvestment.

C SHARES

Frankly, we have **an** increasingly difficult time distinguishing federal **banking** regulatory agencies from financial services trade associations. These proposed new regulations are so utterly one-sided as to simply confirm our long held suspicions that the banking regulators view CRA as a tiresome, meaningless ritual. Certainly the dearth of quaity, affordable lending



products and banking services in our lower income and minority communities stand as (blighted) testaments to that ongoing regulatory indifference.

The proposed changes include three major elements: 1) provide streamlined and cursory exams for banks with assets between \$250 million and \$500 million; 2) establish a weak to nonexistent predatory lending compliance standard under CRA; and 3) expand data collection and reporting for small business and home lending. The beneficial impacts of the third proposal are negated by the damage imposed by the first two proposals. In addition, the federal banking agencies did not update procedures regarding affiliates and assessment areas in their proposal, and thus missed a vital opportunity to continue CRA's effectiveness.

**Streamlined and Cursory Exams** Under the current CRA regulations, large **banks** with assets **of** at least \$250 million are rated by performance evaluations **that** scrutinizetheir level **of** lending, investing, and services to **low-** and moderate-income communities. The proposed changes will eliminate the investment **and** service parts of **the** CRA exam for **banks** and **thrifts** with assets between \$250 and \$500 million. The proposed changes would reduce the rigor of **CRA** exams for 1,111 **banks** that account **for** more **than** \$387 billion in assets.

The elimination of **the** investment and service tests for more than 1,100 **banks** translates **into** less access to banking services and capital **for** underserved communities. These banks would **no** longer be held accountable under **CRA** exams for investing in Low Income Housing Tax Credits, which have been a major source of affordable rental housing needed by large numbers **of** immigrants and lower income segments **of** the minority population. Likewise, the banks would no longer be held accountable for the provision **of** bank branches, checking accounts, Individual Development Accounts (IDAs), or debit card services. Thus, the effectiveness of the Administration's housing and **community** development programs would be diminished. Moreover, the federal bank agencies will fail to enforce **CRA's** statutory requirement that **banks** have **a** continuing and affirmative obligation to serve credit and deposit needs if they eliminate the investment and service test for a large subset of depository institutions.

Predatory Lending Standard The proposed CRA changes contain an anti-predatory screen that will actually perpetuate abusive lending. The proposed standard states that loans based on the foreclosurevalue of the collateral, instead of the ability of the borrower to repay, can result in downgrades in CRA ratings. The asset-based standard falls short because it will not covermany instances of predatory lending. Far example, abusive lending would not result in lower CRA ratings when it strips equity without leading to delinquency or foreclosure. In other words, borrowers can have the necessary income to afford monthly payments, but they are still losing wealth as a result of a lender's excessive fees or unnecessary products.

CRA exams will allow abusive lending if they contain the proposed anti-predatory standard that does not address the problems of the packing of fees into mortgage loans, high prepayment penalties, loan flipping, mandatory arbitration, and other numerous abuses. Rigorous fair lending audits and severe penalties on CRA exams for abusive lending are necessary in order to ensure that the new minority homeowners served by the Administration are protected, but the proposed predatory lending standard will not provide the necessary protections. In addition, an anti-predatory standard must apply to all loans made by the bank and all of its affiliates, not just real-estate secured loans issued by the bank in its "assessment area" as proposed by the agencies. By shielding banks from the consequences of abusive lending, the proposed standard will frustrate CRA's statutory requirement that banks serve low- and moderate-income communities consistent with safety and soundness.

Enhanced Data Disclosure The federal agencies propose that they will publicly report the specific census tract location of small businesses receiving loans in addition to the current items in the CRA small business data for each depository institution. This will improve the ability of the general public to determine if banks are serving traditionally neglected neighborhoods with small business loans. Also the regulators propose separately reporting purchases from loan originations on CRA exams and separately reporting high cost lending (per the new HMDA data requirement starting with the 2004 data).

However, the positive aspects of the proposed data enhancements do not begin to make up for the significant harm caused by the first two proposals. Furthermore, the federal agencies are not utilizing the data enhancements in order to make CRA exams more rigorous. The agencies mest not merely report the new data on CRA exams, but must use the new data to provide less weight on CRA exams to high cost loans than prime loans and assign less weight for purchases than loan originations.

Missed Opportunity to Update Exam Procedures The agencies failed to close gaping loopholes in the CRA regulation. Banks can still *elect* to include affiliates on CRA exams at their option. They can thus manipulate their CRA exams by excluding affiliates not serving low- and moderate-income borrowers and excluding affiliates engaged in predatory lending. The game playing with affiliates will end only if the federal agencies require that all affiliates be included on exams. Lastly, the proposed changes do not address the need to update assessment areas to include geographical areas beyond bank branches. Many banks make considerable portions of their loans beyond their branches; this non-branch lending activity will not be scrutinized by CRA exams.

The proposed changes to **CRA** will directly undercut the Administration's emphasis on minority homeownership and immigrant access to jobs and banking services. The proposals regarding streamlined exams and the anti-predatory lending standard threaten **CRA**'s statutory purpose of the safe **and** sound provision of credit **and** deposit services. The proposed data enhancements would become much more meaningful if the agencies update procedures regarding assessment areas, **affiliates**, and the treatment of high cost loans and purchases on **CRA** exams. **CRA** is simply a law that makes capitalism work for all Americans. **CRA** is too vital to be **gutted** by harmful regulatory changes **and** neglect.

**Thank** you for your attention to this critical matter.

Sincerely,

Paul Bellamy

Executive Director

cc:

National Community Reinvestment Coalition President George W. **Bush** Treasury Secretary John W. Snow